# Model social procurement evaluation matrix – procurement valued below $1 million (regional) or $3 million (metro or State-wide) (exclusive of GST)

The following table provides a summary of evaluation criteria, weighting and scoring for each Social Procurement Framework objective, based on the examples approaches in the tools Application of Social Procurement Framework weighting (example) and Scoring against Social Procurement Framework evaluation criteria (example).

This example approach is not prescriptive – it is up to the government buyer to determine the most appropriate approach based on the circumstances of the individual procurement activity.

## Key focus area: Supplier attributes

Note: Weighted score for social procurement component will form part of total score available for all components of the evaluation matrix

| Objective / Strategy | Comment | Score | Scoring | Weighting | Weighted score |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sustainable Victorian social enterprise and Aboriginal business sectors**Purchasing from Victorian social enterprise, ADE or Aboriginal business | Invitation to supply may enable procurement from any of three eligible types of social benefit suppliers or limit to procurement from specific type(s) of social benefit supplier | 0–10 | If the direct approach to social procurement is used and the responding supplier complies with any specific type(s) of social benefit supplier, then score as 10. If so, then the other criterion is not required to be assessed.If the direct approach to social procurement is not used or a social benefit supplier is involved but is not a required type of social benefit supplier, then score as 0.  | 100% | 0–10 |
| **Sustainable Victorian social enterprise and Aboriginal business sectors** Purchasing from mainstream supplier that involves Victorian social enterprise, ADE or Aboriginal business through the supply chain | Invitation to supply may enable procurement from any of three eligible types of social benefit suppliers or limit to procurement from specific type(s) of social benefit supplier | 0–10 | If the indirect approach to social procurement is used and the responding supplier complies with requirement to involve any specific type(s) of social benefit supplier (and any minimum percentage of the procurement activity to be allocated to a social benefit supplier has been met), then score as 10.If the indirect approach to social procurement is not used, or an indirect approach is used but the responding supplier failed to meet any minimum percentage of the procurement activity to be allocated to a social benefit supplier, or a social benefit supplier is involved but is not a required type of social benefit supplier, then score as 0. | 100% | 0–10 |